

Contents

1	General Introduction	5
2	Variation of DNA fingerprints among accessions within maize inbred lines and implications for identification of essentially derived varieties. I. Genetic and technical sources of variation in SSR data ¹	18
3	Variation of DNA fingerprints among accessions within maize inbred lines and implications for identification of essentially derived varieties: II. Genetic and technical sources of variation in AFLP data and comparison with SSR data ²	29
4	Identification of essentially derived varieties (EDVs) derived from biparental crosses of homozygous lines. I. SSR data from maize inbreds ³	38
5	Identification of essentially derived varieties (EDVs) derived from biparental crosses of homozygous lines. II. Morphological distances and heterosis in comparison with SSR and AFLP data in maize ⁴	65
6	General Discussion	89
7	Summary	104
8	Zusammenfassung	107
9	Appendix	110
10	Acknowledgements	121
11	Curriculum vitae	123

¹ M. Heckenberger, M. Bohn, J.S. Ziegler, L.K. Joe, J.D. Hauser, M. Hutton and A.E. Melchinger. 2002. Variation of DNA fingerprints among accessions within maize inbred lines and implications for identification of essentially derived varieties. I. Genetic and technical sources of variation in SSR data. *Mol. Breed.* 10:181-191.

² M. Heckenberger, J. Rouppe van der Voort, A.E. Melchinger, J. Peleman and M. Bohn. 2003. Variation of DNA fingerprints among accessions within maize inbred lines and implications for identification of essentially derived varieties: II. Genetic and technical sources of variation in AFLP data and comparison with SSR data. *Mol. Breed.* 12:97-106.

³ M. Heckenberger, M. Bohn, and A. E. Melchinger. 2004a. Identification of essentially derived varieties (EDVs) derived from biparental crosses of homozygous lines. II. SSR data from maize inbreds *Crop Sci.* submitted.

⁴ M. Heckenberger, M. Bohn, D. Klein, and A. E. Melchinger. 2004b. Identification of essentially derived varieties (EDVs) derived from biparental crosses of homozygous lines. III. Morphological distances and heterosis in comparison with SSR and AFLP data in maize *Crop Sci.* submitted.